

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/615,361	07/08/2003	Charles N. Serhan	14021.03	8819
7590 07/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Scott D. Rothenberger			DELACROIX MUIRHEI, CYBILLE	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
50 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary					
		10/615,361	SERHAN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Cybille Delacroix	1614		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	wely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	ılv 2003.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrave Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application	on Papers				
10) 🗌 🧵	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive n (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					



Application/Control Number: 10/615,361

Art Unit: 1614

Detailed Action

The following is responsive to the preliminary amendment received July 8, 2003.

Claims 1-4 and 9-18 are cancelled. No new claims are added.

Claims 5-8 are currently pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement received Aug. 21, 2003 has been considered. Pleases refer to Applicant's copy of the 1449 submitted herewith.

Claim Rejection(s)—35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/615,361

Art Unit: 1614

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

1. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serhan 6,653,493.

Serhan discloses methods for treating cell proliferative disorders such as tumors, carcinomas, sarcomas, etc. Specifically, Serhan teaches a method of administering an effective amount of a lipoxin-A4 compound (LXA₄ or 15-epi-lipoxin-A₄ derivatives) to a subject in need of treatment for abnormal cell proliferation. The effective amount of the compound administered is the amount, which is required to assure sufficient exposure of the cell population being treated. Please see col. 5-6; col. 8, lines 42-47; col. 34, lines 64-67.

Serhan does not specifically disclose treating restenosis as the cell proliferative disorder; however, the Examiner refers to col. 35, lines 10-12, where Serhan discloses that, alternatively, target cells to be contacted with the lipoxin-A4 compounds can be undergoing abnormal cell proliferation in response to a stimulus such as restenosis.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the treatment methods disclosed in Serhan to also include treatment of a patient suffering or susceptible to restenosis, especially after angioplasty, because Serhan suggests that restenosis, another type of cell proliferative disorder, can be treated with the lipoxin-A4 compounds. Thus, in view of such a

Application/Control Number: 10/615,361

Art Unit: 1614

suggestion, one of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably expect lipoxin-A4

compounds to inhibit or reduce restenosis in a patient who has undergone angioplasty.

Page 4

Conclusion

Claims 5-8 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid whose telephone number is

(571) 272-0572. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:30 to 6:00.

The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached on 571-272-0953. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CDM

June 27, 2004

Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid

Potent Examiner Group 1600